

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/838,810	STRUHSAKER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Harry Vartanian	2634	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Harry Vartanian.

(3) _____.

 (2) Daniel Venglarik(Reg# 39,409).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 April 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 6

Prior art documents discussed:

6,144,697 (specifically figure 5)

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

In light of Patent 6,144,697 examiner suggested adding the matter of Claim 6 to Claim 1. Specifying that the time domain feedback filter is a rake filter could have made independent Claim 1 allowable over the prior art.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)